



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████  
Docket No. 11136-24  
Ref: Signature Date

████████████████████████████████████████  
████████████████████████████████████████  
████████████████████████████████████████

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although you did not file your application in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your case on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 10 January 2025. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include to the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 6 September 1989. On 14 March 1992, you commenced a period of unauthorized absence (UA) that ended on 16 March 1992. On 1 April 1992, you commenced another period of UA through 29 April 1992. As a result, you accepted trial by Summary Court-Martial (SCM) and were convicted of two violations of Article 86 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice. However, you again absented yourself and were subject to a second SCM and were found guilty of three additional specifications of UA including 30 May through 1 June 1992, 2 through 8 June 1992, and 10 June through 4 August 1992. Given the timing of your final period of UA, you were also found guilty of an offense under Article 134 due to breaking restriction on 10 June 1992. Consequently, you were notified of processing for administrative separation by reason of misconduct due to commission of a serious offense and elected to waive your rights to consult legal counsel or to request a hearing before an administrative separation board. Your separation under Other Than Honorable (OTH) conditions was approved and you were so discharged on 8 October 1992.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to upgrade your discharge and contention that you have been diagnosed with cancer which you believe could possibly be related to your military service and duty station assignments. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted you did not provide documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your SCMs, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and found that your conduct showed a complete disregard for military authority and regulations. Further, the Board observed that you were given multiple opportunities to correct your conduct deficiencies but chose to continue to commit misconduct; which led to your OTH discharge. Your conduct not only showed a pattern of misconduct but was sufficiently pervasive and serious to negatively affect the good order and discipline of your command. Finally, absent a material error or injustice, the Board declined to summarily upgrade a discharge solely for the purpose of facilitating veterans' benefits or enhancing educational or employment opportunities.

As a result, the Board concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a service member and continues to warrant an OTH characterization. Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

Finally, with respect to your contention that you believe your current medical condition may be service-connected, the Board noted that such determinations are within the purview of the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). Should you believe that you have a potentially qualifying, service-connected condition, the Board recommends that you contact your nearest VA office.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity is attached to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

2/4/2025

