



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No. 11237-24  
Ref: Signature Date

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 14 April 2025. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

Regarding your request for a personal appearance, the Board determined that a personal appearance with or without counsel will not materially add to their understanding of the issue(s) involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 18 November 1965. On 11 July 1966, you began a period of unauthorized absence (UA) which lasted 14 hours and resulted in nonjudicial punishment (NJP) on 15 July 1966. On 16 September 1966, you received a mark of 2.8 in professional performance as a result of your work considered average with room for improvement. On 20 September 1967, a medical board placed you on three months limited duty as a result of a fracture on your left femur. On 10 January 1968, a second Medical Board recommended that you return to full duty. On 4 March 1968, you were discharged with a General (Under Honorable Conditions) discharge characterization of service by reason of expiration of term of active obligated service. Your overall trait average (OTA) was 2.83.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to your desire for a discharge upgrade and contention that: (a) you served your country honorably and were discharged after being struck by a lifeboat saving someone, (b) you spent almost a year in the hospital recovering from a broken femur. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted you did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board observed you were assigned a General (Under Honorable Conditions) discharge characterization from the Navy based on your OTA of 2.83. The Board determined your OTA failed to meet the minimum threshold for an Honorable characterization of service. Therefore, absent substantial evidence that your OTA was erroneously calculated, the Board concluded the presumption of regularity applies in your case and determined you were appropriately issued a General (Under Honorable Conditions) discharge.

Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

5/1/2025

