

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 12074-24 Ref: Signature Date

		Ref. Signature Date
From: To:	Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records Secretary of the Navy	
Subj:	REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO XXX-XX-	USN RET,
Ref:	(a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552 (b) Title 38 U.S.C. Chp 33 (c) BUPERSNOTE 1780	
Encl:	(1) DD Form 149 w/attachments(2) Subject's naval record	
1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to establish eligibility to transfer Post-9/11 GI Bill education benefits to his eligible dependent daughter.		
allegat the cor Docum	Board, consisting of provided	ions, determined that ce of record.
and inj availab	Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner' ustice, found that, before applying to this Board, he exhausted all admible under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navlowing findings:	nistrative remedies
a. The Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Act (Post-9/11 GI Bill, Public Law 110-252) was signed into law on 30 June 2008 and became effective on 1 August 2009. The bill provides financial support for education and housing for service members with at least 90 days of service on or after 11 September 2001. The act also includes provision for qualifying service members to transfer education benefits to their eligible dependents. General descriptions of the essential components of the law were widely available, beginning in summer 2008 but specific implementing guidance was not published until summer 2009.		
b. (On 11 May 1990, Petitioner entered active duty.	
c. (born o		1:

d. On 31 May 2010, Petitioner transferred to the Retired List.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. Petitioner met the basic eligibility criteria to transfer Post-9/11 GI Bill education benefits but failed to complete the administrative requirements outlined in references (c). Although Petitioner did not complete the appropriate administrative requirements, the Board concluded that had he received adequate counseling, he would have completed the required NAVPERS 1070/613, Administrative Remarks and submitted a transfer of education benefits (TEB) application prior to retirement. Moreover, the Board determined Petitioner did not have a service obligation in accordance with reference (c). Therefore, the Board determined under this circumstance, relief is warranted.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner, in coordination with his command completed the required NAVPERS 1070/613, Administrative Remarks on 1 August 2009 and submitted it to Commander, Navy Personnel Command for inclusion in the Petitioner's Official Military Personnel File.

Petitioner elected to transfer unused education benefits to through the MilConnect TEB portal on 1 August 2009. Note: Commander, Navy Personnel Command (PERS-314) reviewed Petitioner's TEB application, and it was approved on 1 August 2009 without a service obligation in accordance with reference (c).

A copy of this report of proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

- 4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above titled matter.
- 5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.



¹ The option to transfer a Service member's unused education benefits to an eligible dependent did not require an additional service requirement for those who had an approved retirement date after 1 August 2009 and before 1 July 2010. Additionally, all officers were required to have a NAVPERS 1070/613, Administrative Remarks, prepared by their command in the Navy Standard Integrated Personnel System Electronic Service Record agreeing to serve the required additional years of service prior to initiating their electronic transfer election. Furthermore, the policy directed members to periodically check the status of their application; a denied transfer of education benefits (TEB) application required members to take corrective action and reapply with a new service obligation service date.