

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 16-25 Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. §1552

(b) USECDEF Memo, "Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations," of 25 July 2018

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 with attachments

(2) Case Summary

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his discharge be upgraded.
- 2. The Board, consisting of allegations of error and injustice on 10 June 2025 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of Petitioner's application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include reference (b).
- 3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
- b. Although enclosure (1) was not filed in a timely manner, it is in the interest of justice to review the application on its merits.
- c. After a period of continuous Honorable service in the Navy that commenced on 22 November 1996, Petitioner immediately reenlisted and commenced another period of active duty on 18 January 2001.

- d. At the time of his enlistment, Petitioner was granted a pre-service enlistment waiver resulting from a civil conviction that was drug related. During his first enlistment, he received two non-judicial punishments (NJP) for being in an unauthorized absence (UA), assault, and communicating a threat. Petitioner also received a waiver of administrative separation processing due to failing to disclose his entire arrest prior to enlistment. On 20 February 2002, civil authorities served Petitioner with a warrant charging him with failure to appear in court as a result of a possession of marijuana and possession of drug paraphernalia charge. On 4 March 2002, Petitioner tested positive for marijuana. Consequently, he was notified of pending administrative separation by reason of misconduct drug abuse. Petitioner elected to consult with legal counsel and subsequently requested an administrative discharge board (ADB). The ADB found that Petitioner committed misconduct due to drug abuse and recommended he be retained in the Navy. The commanding officer (CO) disagreed with the ADB recommendation and recommended Petitioner be discharged with a General (Under Honorable Condition) (GEN) characterization of service. The separation authority (SA) concurred with the CO and directed a GEN discharge due to drug abuse. On 2 July 2002, he was so discharged.
- e. At the time of his discharge, Petitioner received a DD Form 214 that failed to document his period of continuous Honorable service from 22 November 1996 to 17 January 2001.
- f. Petitioner states that his discharge should be upgraded due to him having Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board considered the totality of Petitioner's application; which consisted solely of his petition without any other additional documentation.

## **CONCLUSION:**

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concluded Petitioner's request merits partial relief. Specifically, as previously discussed, the Board noted that Petitioner's DD Form 214 does not annotate his period of continuous Honorable service and requires correction.

Notwithstanding the recommended corrective action below, the Board determined Petitioner's assigned characterization of service for his second enlistment remains appropriate. The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in Petitioner's case in accordance with reference (b). These included, but were not limited to, Petitioner's desire to upgrade his discharge and his previously discussed contention.

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined Petitioner's misconduct, as evidenced by his positive urinalysis, outweighed the potential mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of his misconduct and the fact it involved a drug related offense. The Board determined that illegal drug abuse by a service member is contrary to military core values and policy, renders such members unfit for duty, and poses an unnecessary risk to the safety of their fellow service members. Further, the Board also noted Petitioner provided no evidence, other than his statement, to substantiate his contentions.

As a result, the Board determined that there was no impropriety or inequity in Petitioner's discharge and concluded that his misconduct and disregard for good order and discipline clearly merited his discharge. Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting Petitioner the relief he requested or granting the requested relief as a matter of clemency or equity.

## RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the foregoing, the Board finds the existence of an error warranting the following corrective action:

That Petitioner be issued a "Correction to DD Form 214, Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty" (DD Form 215), for the period ending 2 July 2002, to reflect the following comment added to the Block 18 Remarks section:

"CONTINUOUS HONORABLE SERVICE FROM 22NOV96 TO 17JAN01."

That no further changes be made to Petitioner's record.

That a copy of this report of proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

- 4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.
- 5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

6/30/2025

