



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████
Docket No. 474-25
Ref: Signature Date

████████████████████
████████████████████
████████████████████

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 4 June 2025. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations, and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, as well as the 27 January 2025 advisory opinion (AO) furnished by the Navy Personnel Command (PERS-32). The AO was provided to you on 23 April 2025, and you were given 30 days in which to submit a response. Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, you did not do so.

The Board carefully considered your request to remove your evaluation report for the reporting period 3 November 2023 to 17 August 2024. The Board considered your contention that the evaluation report conflicts with a Regular/Periodic evaluation period and overlaps the evaluation report ending 15 March 2024.

The Board substantially concurred with the AO and determined that your evaluation report is valid as written and filed according to the applicable Navy Performance Evaluation System Manual (EVALMAN). In this regard, the Board noted that concurrent reports are authorized when the Reporting Senior (RS) determines that a members' performance is significant but cannot be sufficiently evaluated by their regular RS. The Board also noted that you were assigned to ██████████ during the contested reporting period and determined that your RS acted properly and within his/her discretionary authority by submitting a concurrent evaluation report. The Board thus concluded that there is no probable material error, substantive

inaccuracy, or injustice warranting corrective action. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

