

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 0922-25 Ref: Signature Date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A threemember panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 14 April 2025. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the Navy after receiving waivers for a pre-service fighting offense and cocaine use in the delayed entry program (DEP) and commenced active duty on 27 April 1992.

On 8 December 1992, you were found guilty at Summary court martial (SCM) of willfully and wrongfully damaging a vehicle, with damage in excess of one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00). On 13 January 1993, you were issued an administrative remarks (Page 13) counseling concerning deficiencies in your performance and/or conduct; specifically, unauthorized absence and missing movement. You were advised that any further deficiencies in your performance and/or conduct may result in disciplinary action and in processing for administrative discharge. On 27 September 1993, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for unauthorized absence (UA) from your place of duty.

On 1 December 1994, you were convicted at Special Court Martial (SPCM) of willful damage to a motor vehicle, larceny, and disorderly conduct. You were sentenced to reduction in rank to E-1, forfeitures, confinement, and a Bad Conduct Discharge (BCD). Subsequently, the findings and sentence of your SPCM were affirmed and you were issued a BCD on 31 July 1996.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to change your discharge characterization of service and your contention that you are willing to do anything to achieve an upgrade. You also checked the "PTSD" and "Mental Health" boxes on your application but chose not to respond to the 31 January 2025 letter from the Board requesting evidence in support of your claim. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board considered the totality of your application; which consisted solely of your petition without any other additional documentation.

After thorough review, the Board concluded your potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your NJP, SCM, and SPCM, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and found that your conduct showed a complete disregard for military authority and regulations. The Board observed you were given multiple opportunities to correct your conduct deficiencies but chose to continue to commit misconduct; which led to your BCD. Your conduct not only showed a pattern of misconduct but was sufficiently pervasive and serious to negatively affect the good order and discipline of your command. Finally, as noted above, you provided no explanation or evidence to support a finding that an error or injustice exists with your record.

As a result, the Board determined that there was no impropriety or inequity in your discharge and concluded that your misconduct and disregard for good order and discipline clearly merited your discharge. Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.



Sincerely,