

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 1094-25 Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO , USN, XXX-XX-

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552

(b) NAVADMIN 108/20, 15 Apr 20

(c) FY24 SRB Award Plan (N13 SRB 002/FY24), 15 Apr 24

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments

- (2) Advisory opinion by CMSB memo 1160 Ser B328/032, 5 Feb 25
- (3) Subject's naval record
- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to show Petitioner reenlisted on 9 August 2024 for 4 years and was eligible for and received a Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB).
- 2. The Board, consisting of \_\_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_ reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 22 July 2025 and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.
- 3. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:
- a. In accordance with reference (b), announced revised SRB policy for Active Component and Full Time Support, superseding NAVADMIN 272/19. Sailors must now have reenlisted within 365 days of their Expiration of Active Obligated Service (EAOS) (as opposed to 270 days required in NAVADMIN 272/19), except in the case of Nuclear-trained Sailors who could have reenlisted at any point in the reenlistment zone, per guidance in OPNAVINST 1160.8B.
- b. On 25 January 2021, Petitioner entered active duty for 4 years with an EAOS of 24 January 2025.
  - c. In September 2021, Petitioner was awarded Navy Enlisted Classification (NEC) C01A.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO, USN, XXX-XX-
d. On 1 October 2021, Petitioner transferred from and arrived to on 18 October 2021 for duty.
e. In accordance with reference (c), FY24 SRB Award Plan (N13 SRB 002/FY24) a zone "A" SRB with an award level of 2.5 (\$45,000 award ceiling) for the CTT rate was listed.
f. On 18 June 2024, Petitioner signed a command career request (NPPSC 1160/1) requesting a 4 year reenlistment effective 9 August 2024, and a zone A SRB. Petitioner's request was approved by cognizant authority on 27 June 2024.
g. On 24 July 2024, notified that Petitioner's SRB request had been approved. Furthermore, SRB entitlement was based on a reenlistment with an effective date of 9 August 2024.
h. On 9 August 2024,
i. On 9 August 2024, Petitioner reenlisted for 4 years with an EAOS of 8 August 2028; however, it was erroneously entered as 9 July 2024.
j. On 24 January 2025, Petitioner was issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order: 0245) while stationed in with an effective date of departure of August 2025. Petitioner's intermediate (01) activity is for temporary duty under instruction with an effective date of arrival of 16 August 2025. Petitioner's ultimate activity is for duty with an effective date of arrival of 20 December 2025 with a projected rotation date of July 2028.  CONCLUSION
Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded on 9 August 2024, Petitioner reenlisted for 4 years; however, Petitioner's SRB was not paid because the contract was processed with the erroneous date of 9 July 2024. The Board determined if Petitioner's reenlistment contract had been processed with the correct reenlistment date of 9 August 2024 for a 4 year term, Petitioner would have been awarded zone A SRB.

## RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner was discharged and reenlisted on 8/9 August 2024 vice on 8/9 July 2024 for a term of 4 years.

Note: This change will entitle the member to a zone "A" SRB with an award level of 2.5 (\$45,000 dollar award ceiling) for the CTT rate. Remaining obligated service to 24 January 2025 will be deducted from SRB computation.

A copy of this report of proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

- 4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above titled matter.
- 5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

