



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No. 1415-25
Ref: Signature Date

█
█
█

Dear █,

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 July 2025. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion contained in Commander, Navy Personnel Command memorandum █, which was previously provided to you for comment.

On 22 April 1980, your Application for Enlistment – Armed Forces of the United States (DD Form 1966) block 24 (Military service) listed the following: Service Component National Guard with a date of entry 18 August 1978 to 23 April 1980, and block 25 (Total active military service 5 months and 9 days).

You were discharged with an under honorable conditions character of service and were issued a Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) for the period of 24 April 1980 to 19 February 1982 due to burden to command due to substandard performance or inability to adapt to military service. Furthermore, block 12d (Total prior active service) listed the following 5 months and 9 days, and block 12e (Total prior inactive service) listed the following: 1 year, 1 month, and 20 days.

On 26 March 1982, Commanding Officer, █ notified Commander, Naval Military Personnel Command (NMPC-831) that “Pursuant to Article 3850220.4 of the

Naval Military Personnel Manual and CNO WASHINGTON DC 161831Z JAN 82, the following Information is submitted in the case of [you].

The specific reason for processing is because of an administrative burden due to minor military or disciplinary infraction.”

“[You were] awarded a General Discharge and released on 19 February 1982.”

You requested that your DD Form 214 of 19 February 1982 list your prior service in the United States Army National Guard, the Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, to include your assertions. You assert that your DD Form 214 does not show your prior service in the United States Army National Guard and that you believe that your training and accomplishments should be recognized. However, the Board concluded that your DD Form 1966 lists that you served in the National Guard from 18 August 1978 to 23 April 1980. You entered active duty in the Navy on 24 April 1980, which is listed in block 12a of your DD Form 214. Additionally, your prior active service, which represents your initial training is listed in block 12d and your inactive service is listed in block 12e. The Board noted that there is no requirement to list the type/component for the dates listed in these blocks. The Board determined that your DD Form 214 already recognizes your prior service in the U.S. Army National Guard and a change to your record is not warranted. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the aforementioned advisory opinion.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

7/29/2025

