



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████
Docket No. 1734-25
Ref: Signature Date

████████████████████
████████████████████
████████████████████

Dear ██████████

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 July 2025. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies, as well as the 17 January 2025 decision by the Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Review Board (PERB) and the 31 December 2024 Advisory Opinion (AO) provided to the PERB by the Headquarters Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Section (MMPB-23). Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, you did not do so.

The Board carefully considered your request to remove the change of reporting senior (CH) fitness report for the reporting period 1 October 2020 to 15 June 2021 because the report was “unjustly observed as adverse for a circumstance that had not yet occurred.” Specifically, you contend the height/weight measurement was conducted on 30 June 2021, a date outside the reporting period. Further, you note that you were not assigned to the Body Composition Program. Lastly, the length of time – more than 14 months – taken to finalize the report, “allowed bias to set in” and this “bias is reflected in all parties’ lack of willingness to reflect on the facts...[and] to process the report correctly.”

The Board, however, determined the CH fitness report was valid as written and filed, in accordance with the applicable Performance Evaluation System Manual guidance. The Board, substantially concurring with the AO, noted your current contentions were adjudicated by the reporting officials, and Headquarters Marine Corps reviewed the adverse report and confirmed its administrative accuracy and procedural completeness. The Board determined the submitted

evidence is insufficient to overcome the presumption of regularity in the finalized report. Based on the available evidence, the Board concluded there is insufficient evidence of an error or injustice warranting your requested relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

7/9/2025

