



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████  
Docket No. 1826-25  
Ref: Signature Date

██████████  
██████████  
██████████  
  
Dear ██████████,

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 29 July 2025. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion contained in Commander, Navy Personnel Command memorandum 1900 PERS-312/SA of 17 April 2025, which was previously provided to you for comment.

On 29 April 1967, you graduated from the ██████████, ██████████, ██████████ with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Marine Engineering. Furthermore, on 10 April 1967, an Appointment as Reserve Officer in United States Navy Acceptance and Oath of Office was issued, with a designator code of 1105 in the inactive U.S. Naval Reserve as an Ensign with a date of rank of 29 April 1967. You/witness signed this form on 29 April 1967.

You were released from active duty with an honorable character of service and were issued a Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) for the period of 16 November 1968 to 10 March 1969 upon completion of required active service. Furthermore, the following is provided: block 12f (Foreign service) listed blank, block 12g (Sea service) listed blank, and block 13 (Decorations, medals, badges, citations and campaign ribbons awarded or authorized) listed National Defense Service Medal.

You were released from active duty with an honorable character of service and were issued a DD Form 214 for the period of 20 October 1969 to 15 June 1970 upon completion of required active service. Furthermore, the following is provided: block 12f (Foreign service) listed blank, block 12g (Sea service) listed blank, and block 13 (Decorations, medals, badges, citations and campaign ribbons awarded or authorized) listed National Defense Service Medal.

On 15 February 1970, a Merchant Marine Program Questionnaire was issued with the following information: During each 12 month period following my commissioning date I sailed on my Merchant Marine License as follows: 1 May 1967 to 13 July 1967 ([REDACTED]), 9 August 1967 to 13 September 1967 ([REDACTED]), 15 October 1967 to 8 January 1968 ([REDACTED]), 22 February 1968 to 16 May 1968 ([REDACTED]), 8 July 1968 to 2 October 1968 ([REDACTED]), 11 November 1968 to 23 November 1968 ([REDACTED]), 24 November 1968 to 7 December 1968 ([REDACTED]), 8 December 1968 to 10 March 1969 ([REDACTED]), 28 April 1969 to 1 July 1969 ([REDACTED]), 17 July 1969 to 8 September 1969 ([REDACTED]), 20 October 1969 to 1 March 1970 ([REDACTED]), and 1 March 1970 to 15 June 1970 (Scheduled to sail on [REDACTED]).

On 16 December 1992, Secretary of the Navy notified you that By direction of the President, and pursuant to Title 10, U. S. Code, Section 1162, you are hereby honorably discharged from the U. S. Naval Reserve effective 30 September 1991.

On 5 March 2025, Naval Personnel Command issued Subject a Statement of Service for Naval Reserve Retirement for the period of 29 April 1967 to 30 September 1991 that listed the following: Qualifying Years of Service: 6 years. Total Retirement Points Creditable for Pay: 1159.

On 17 April 2025, Navy Personnel Command, via Assistant for Board matters (PERS-3B) notified the Board that, "[a] complete review of Subject Named Officer's (SNO) Official Military Personnel File (OMPF), corporate data, and the document provided was conducted. The review revealed SNO was on active-duty training for 90 consecutive days from 11/16/1968 until 03/10/1969, 10/20/1969 until 06/15/1970 and was honorably discharged from the USNR on 09/30/1991. This is considered an administrative oversight and DD 214's and an honorable discharge certificate will be issued by this office. No evidence found to determine eligibility of the [REDACTED] Service Medal."

You requested a DD Form 214 for the period of 4 April 1969 to 8 September 1969 showing you were in service to [REDACTED]. The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, to include your assertions. The Board concluded your OMPF did not contain any DD Form 214s. NPC issued the missing DD Form 214s for the periods of 16 November 1968 through 10 March 1969 and 20 October 1969 through 15 June 1970. The Board determined there was no evidence contained within your OMPF, nor did you provide any, which identified active service in [REDACTED] or the offshore waters of [REDACTED] warranting a DD Form 214 for the period you requested. Therefore, the Board found no error or injustice to warrant a change to your record. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the aforementioned advisory opinion.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

8/4/2025

