



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No. 2187-25
Ref: Signature Date

█
█
█

Dear █,

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 20 August 2025. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations, and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

The Board carefully considered your request to remove your 11 June 2019 Administrative Remarks 6105 (Page 11) entry. The Board considered your contention that there was an inaccuracy of the date listed on the Page 11 entry. You claim that the Page 11 entry is attached to the fitness report that was removed by the Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Review Board based on inaccurate dates.

The Board noted that pursuant to paragraph 6105 of the Marine Corps Separation and Retirement Manual (MARCORSEPMAN), you were issued a Page 11 entry counseling you for failing the physical fitness test (PFT) on 17 May 2019. The Board also noted that you acknowledged the entry and elected not to submit a statement. The Board determined that the counseling entry was written and issued according to the MARCORSEPMAN. Specifically, the counseling entry provided written notification concerning your deficiencies, specific recommendations for corrective action, where to seek assistance, the consequences for failure to take corrective action, and it afforded you an opportunity to submit a rebuttal. Moreover, your commanding officer, acted within his/her discretionary authority when determining that your counseling entry was warranted.

The Board also determined that your contention regarding an inaccurate date on the Page 11 entry lacks merit and is not supported by sufficient evidence. In this regard, the Board noted that the date of the PFT and your PFT scores are consistent with the data reported in the Marine Corps Total Force System and the scores noted in Section I of your fitness report. The Board found no evidence that the date of the PFT noted in the Page 11 entry is in error. The Board thus concluded that there is no probable material error, substantive inaccuracy, or injustice warranting corrective action. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

9/4/2025

