



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

██████████  
Docket No. 2231-25  
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO ██████████, USN,  
XXX-XX-██████████

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments  
(2) Advisory opinion by NPPSC memo 7220 N1, 27 Mar 25  
(3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to show Petitioner's 3-year reenlistment contract executed on 26 July 2024 listed BR/CL: 32 RADO 036 vice BR/CL 11 and RADO 000.

2. The Board, consisting of ██████████, ██████████ and ██████████ reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 25 November 2025 and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

3. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:

a. On 24 August 2020, Petitioner enlisted in the U.S. Naval Reserve for 8 years with an Expiration of Obligated Service of 23 August 2028.

b. On 6 April 2021, Petitioner entered active duty for 4 years with a new contract expiration date of 5 April 2025.

c. On 17 August 2021, Petitioner transferred from ██████████ and arrived to ██████████ on 4 September 2021 for duty.

d. On 30 May 2024, Petitioner was issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order: 1514) with required obligated service to October 2027, while stationed in ██████████ with an effective date of departure of September 2024.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Petitioner's ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of 30 October 2024, with a Projected Rotation Date of October 2027.

e. Petitioner's immediate reenlistment contract (NAVPERS 1070/601) of 26 July 2024 for a term of 3 years with a new contract expiration date of 25 July 2027 listed the following: BR/CL USN, Status Active, and RADO Months/Days 000/000.

f. Petitioner's immediate reenlistment contract (NAVPERS 1070/601) of 26 July 2024 for a term of 3 years with a new contract expiration date of 25 July 2027 listed the following: BR/CL USNR, Status Active, and RADO Months/Days 036/000.

g. On 21 September 2024, Petitioner transferred from [REDACTED] and arrived to [REDACTED] on 30 October 2024 for duty.

h. On 4 June 2025, Navy Standard Integrated Personnel System/Electronic Service Record shows a 3-month agreement to extend enlistment with a new contract expiration date of 25 October 2027.

i. Petitioner's Navy Standard Integrated Personnel System/Electronic Service Record Member Data Summary of 26 August 2025 listed the following: BR/CL USNR, and Special Program Ind FTS Enlisted.

## CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that on 30 May 2024, Petitioner was issued orders 1514 with required obligated service to October 2027. On 26 July 2024, Petitioner reenlisted for 3 years, however Petitioner's command submitted the contract for processing with the incorrect branch/class. Petitioner has provided a corrected copy of the reenlistment with his request to BCNR for processing; however, the Board determined that because both contracts are contained within his naval record, the incorrect contract listing BR/CL USN, Status Active, and RADO Months/Days 000/000 must be removed.

## RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner's immediate reenlistment contract (NAVPERS 1070/601) of 26 July 2024 for a term of 3 years with a new contract expiration date of 25 July 2027 listing the following: BR/CL USN, Status Active, and RADO Months/Days 000/000, is null and void.

A copy of this report of proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above titled matter.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

12/9/2025

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director

Signed by: [REDACTED]