



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]  
Docket No. 2502-25  
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN RET,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552  
(b) Title 38 U.S. Code § 3319  
(c) NAVADMIN 203/09, 11 Jul 09  
(d) BUPERSNOTE 1780, 7 Apr 10

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments  
(2) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to show Petitioner transferred Post-9/11 GI Bill education benefits to his eligible dependent son effective 20 October 2009.

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 7 August 2025 and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:

- a. In February 1997, Petitioner married [REDACTED] and divorced on 3 February 2023.
- b. In August 1996, Petitioner's dependent child [REDACTED] was born.
- c. On 2 February 2007, Petitioner reenlisted for 6 years with an Expiration of Active Obligated Service (EAOS) of 1 February 2013.
- d. In April 2007, Petitioner's dependent child [REDACTED] was born.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN RET,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

e. On 20 October 2009, Petitioner submitted TEB application with less than 4 years remaining on contract and requested to allocate education benefits to [REDACTED]/1-month, [REDACTED]/1-month, and [REDACTED]/34 months. The Service rejected the application indicating, "Disapproved SM [Service Member] has not committed to the required additional service time."

f. On 17 December 2010, Petitioner reenlisted for 4 years with an EAOS of 16 December 2014.

g. On 17 January 2013, Petitioner submitted TEB application with less than 4 years remaining on contract and requested to allocate education benefits to [REDACTED]/1-month, [REDACTED]/1-month, and [REDACTED]/34 months. The Service rejected the application indicating, "Disapproved SM has not committed to the required additional service time."

h. On 20 March 2013, Navy Standard Integrated Personnel System/Electronic Service Record shows a 1-month agreement to extend enlistment with a Soft EAOS of 16 January 2015.

i. Petitioner was transferred to the Fleet Reserve with an honorable character of service and was issued a Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) for the period of 12 November 1993 to 31 December 2014 upon having sufficient service for retirement.

j. The Benefits for Education Administrative Services Tool (BEAST) reflects Petitioner used 2 months of education benefits; last payment date was 23 July 2019.

k. In November 2024, Petitioner married [REDACTED].

## CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. Petitioner met the basic eligibility criteria to transfer Post-9/11 GI Bill education benefits but failed to complete the administrative requirements outlined in references (c) and (d). Although Petitioner did not complete the appropriate administrative requirements, the Board concluded that had he received adequate counseling, he would have been able to transfer unused education benefits to eligible dependents upon reenlisting on 17 December 2010. Moreover, the Board determined Petitioner completed 4 years of active duty service from 17 December 2010, thereby meeting the spirit and intent of reference (b). Petitioner did not have sufficient time on contract to warrant approval effective 20 October 2009. Therefore, the Board determined that under this circumstance, partial relief is warranted.

## RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner elected to transfer unused education benefits to [REDACTED]/34 months through the MilConnect TEB portal on 17 December 2010.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USN RET,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Commander, Navy Personnel Command (PERS-311) reviewed Petitioner's TEB application and it was approved on 17 December 2010 with a 4-year service obligation. Note: PERS-311 will ensure Petitioner's BEAST Family Member History is updated with the aforementioned approved allocation of education benefits.

That no further changes be made to Petitioner's naval record.

A copy of this report of proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above titled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

8/18/2025

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director

Signed by: [REDACTED]