



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

701 S. COURTHOUSE RD

ARLINGTON, VA 22204

[REDACTED] Docket No. 2873-25

Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED]
XXX XX [REDACTED] USMC

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. § 1552
(b) MCO 1900.16 w/CH-3

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/enclosures
(2) NAVMC 118(11) Administrative Remarks, 15 May 24
(3) Petitioner ltr, undated
(4) [REDACTED] email, 10 Apr 24

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that her naval record be corrected by removing enclosures (2) and (3).

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED], reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 17 September 2025, and pursuant to its regulations, determined the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds the following:

a. On 15 May 2024, Petitioner was issued a Page 11 entry counseling her for having an unprofessional relationship outside of her marriage with a commissioned officer. Petitioner acknowledged the entry and elected to provide a statement. In her statement, Petitioner asserts that the case stems from an anonymous Inspector General Hotline complaint and unsworn statement alleging she was involved in an unduly familiar relationship. Petitioner explained that she met an officer at a club, they exchanged nicknames and social media information, and, at some point, they posed for a photograph. After exchanging social media information, they became aware of each other's occupation and rank. Petitioner claims there was no other contact and this was the last time she ever saw the officer. Petitioner also claims that the complainant withdrew her complaint and texted Petitioner that, "Due to having bad info I've withdrawn my complaint, my apologies for any issues this may have caused." Enclosures (2) and (3).

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED]

XXX XX [REDACTED] USMC

b. In an email dated 10 April 2024, [REDACTED] requested clarification regarding the status of her complaint. [REDACTED] stated, "I would like to express I would not like any information gathered from me to be used as I am not as 100 percent sure of what I saw as I was when I submitted my complaint. Besides the photograph I sent, I cannot say with confidence that the female marine was the one I saw on those other occasions. Which is the reason I revoked my request." Enclosure (4).

c. In her application, Petitioner contends the record is in error and not supported by sufficient factual evidence. Petitioner claims that the available evidence was inconclusive and did not meet the necessary standard for such a serious charge. Enclosure (1).

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting relief.

The Board noted that pursuant to paragraph 6105 of reference (b), Petitioner was issued a Page 11 entry counseling her for having an unprofessional relationship outside of her marriage with a commissioned officer. The Board also noted that Petitioner acknowledged the entry and provided a statement. The Board found Petitioner's rebuttal statement credible and supported by [REDACTED]'s email requesting the withdrawal of her complaint. Moreover, the Board determined Petitioner provided sufficient evidence that the complainant was no longer confident about who she saw on other occasions. Accordingly, the Board determined that the counseling entry and Petitioner's rebuttal statement should be removed.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the above, the Board directs the following corrective action.

Petitioner's naval record be corrected by removing enclosures (2) and (3).

Any material or entries inconsistent with or relating to the Board's recommendation be corrected, removed, or completely expunged from Petitioner's record, and that no such entries or material be added to the record in the future. This includes, but is not limited to, all information systems or database entries that reference or discuss the expunged material.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED]
XXX XX [REDACTED] USMC

corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

11/20/2025

