



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

█
Docket No. 3525-25
Ref: Signature Date

█
█
█

Dear █,

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 December 2025. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

The Board carefully considered your request to remove the Navy and Marine Corps (NAVMC) 118(11)/6105 counseling entry, dated 26 January 2017, from your Official Military Personnel File (OMPF), along with your contention that because the counseling was given and signed by your Officer In Charge (OIC), rather than your Commanding Officer (CO), it was invalid and should be removed.

In its review of your request and all available evidence, the Board determined you did not demonstrate probable material error, substantive inaccuracy, or injustice warranting removal of the counseling entry from your record. Although you are correct that the Marine Corps Separation Manual (MARCORSEPMAN) now requires that 6105 counseling be issued and signed by the CO, prior to 2019, a Marine's CO *or* OIC could issue and sign 6105 entries. Unfortunately, your contention that your entry was invalid because it was signed by your OIC, and not your CO, misapplies current policy to a past event. As such, the Board concluded that there is no probable material error, substantive inaccuracy, or injustice warranting the corrective action you've requested. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined your request does not merit relief.

