



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████
Docket No. 4680-25
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF ██████████, ██████████
USN, XXX-XX-██████████

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. §1552
(b) USD (P&R) Memo, "Updated Guidance on Correction of Military Records for Service Members Involuntary Separated for Refusal to Comply with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Vaccination Requirements," 1 April 2025
(c) ALNAV 062/21, subj: 2021-2022 Department of Navy Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccination Policy, dtg 302126Z AUG 21
(d) Executive Order 14184, Reinstating Service Members Discharged Under the Military's COVID-19 Vaccination Mandate, 27 January 2025
(e) SECNAVINST 5420.193, Board for Correction of Naval Records, 19 November 1997

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments
(2) DD Form 214
(3) Navy Recruiting Command Retention Eligibility Memo, 23 Jul 25
(4) Community Management Support Branch (BUPERS-328) AO, 2 Jul 25
(5) Enlisted Force Plans and Policy Branch (N132C) AO, 2 Jul 25

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records, hereinafter referred to as the Board, pursuant to the guidance in reference (b). Specifically, Petitioner requested that her record be corrected to reflect that she was never separated from the Navy and that she continued to serve without interruption and requests backpay of basic pay and all entitlements.¹

2. The Board, consisting of ██████████, ██████████, and ██████████, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 12 August 2025 and, pursuant to its governing policies and procedures, determined that the corrective action reflected in paragraph 5 below should be taken upon Petitioner naval record in the interests of justice. Documentary material considered by the Board included the enclosures; relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record; and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. Factual Background. The following are the relevant facts of Petitioner's case based upon review of his naval record and/or the matters provided with his application:

¹ Her request is characterized as it is here because reinstatement with back pay necessarily entails correction of her naval record to reflect that the applicant was never separated from the Navy and continued to serve without interruption.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED],
USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

a. On 12 February 2014, Petitioner enlisted in the United States Navy for a period of eight years, with a four-year active-duty obligation.

b. Petitioner entered active duty in the Navy pursuant to the enlistment described in paragraph 3a above on 9 September 2014. Petitioner reenlisted for three years on 10 March 2020. See enclosure (2).

c. On 23 February 2022, Petitioner was involuntarily discharged from the Navy for misconduct due to commission of a serious offense with an Honorable characterization of service. The serious offense in question was her refusal to comply with the former COVID-19 vaccination mandate of reference (c) in violation of Article 92, Uniform Code of Military Justice. See enclosure (2).

d. By memorandum, Navy Recruiting Command certified that Petitioner was prescreened in accordance with reference (b) and determined to meet retention standards. See enclosure (3).

e. By memorandum dated 2 July 2025, BUPERS-328 advised the Board a review of the Petitioner's Official Military Personnel File (OMPF) reflects she was a OS2. Her Active-Duty Service Date (ADSD) was 9 September 2014, and her Expiration of Active Obligated Service (EAOS) was 09 March 2023. At the time of her EAOS she would not have been eligible for an SRB because she did not hold an NEC that was SRB eligible. See enclosure (4).

f. By memorandum dated 2 July 2025, the Office of the Chief of Naval Operations (N132) advised the Board that Petitioner would have been eligible to participate the Navy-wide Advancement Examination (NWAE) Cycle 255 in March 2022 for an advancement opportunity to OS1/E6. If Petitioner advanced to OS1/E6 from Cycle 255, effective date of advancement would have been between July-December 2022, with last effective date of pay being December 16, 2022, and TIR of 1 July 2022. See enclosure (5).

4. Conclusion. Upon careful review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board found an injustice warranting relief.

a. According to reference (e), the COVID-19 vaccine mandate was an "unfair, overbroad, and completely unnecessary burden on our Service members" and the military "unjustly discharged those who refused the vaccine." Since there was no other basis for administrative separation apparent in Petitioner's naval record, the Board therefore found an injustice in that Petitioner was involuntarily separated from the Navy solely for refusing the former COVID-19 vaccination mandate.

b. Having found an injustice in that Petitioner was involuntarily separated from the Navy solely for refusing the former COVID-19 vaccination mandate, the Board also found an injustice in any and all adverse actions that followed from or contributed to that separation.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED],
USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

5. Recommendations. Having found an injustice in that Petitioner was involuntarily separated from the Navy solely for refusing the former COVID-19 vaccination mandate, the Board recommends that the following corrective actions be taken on Petitioner's naval record.

a. The following recommended corrective actions are contingent upon Petitioner's acceptance of the Navy's offer of reinstatement subject to the terms of reference (b).²

(1) That Petitioner's record be corrected to reflect that she was promoted to operations specialists first class petty officer (OS1) with a date of rank of 1 July 2022. NPC will make all appropriate service record entries of her correction promotion date.

(2) That Petitioner's naval record be corrected to reflect that she was not discharged from the Navy on 23 February 2022, but rather that she continued to serve honorably in the ranks indicated in paragraph 5a(1) above with no break in service at her last duty station. As such, Petitioner is to receive constructive service credit for the period from 23 February 2022 until the date that she is reinstated in the Navy. To effectuate this relief, NPC is directed to scrub Petitioner's record and remove any and all references to Petitioner's discharge, including but not necessarily limited to her DD Form 214 and the documents related to her administrative separation process.

(3) That Petitioner's naval record be corrected to reflect that she executed a three-year reenlistment contract on her EAOS date of 9 March 2023.

(4) That appropriate administrative fillers be inserted into Petitioner's naval record to remove the gap in her record between 23 February 2022 and her eventual reinstatement date during which she did not receive any evaluation reports.

b. The Board further recommends that that NPC scrub Petitioner's naval record and remove any adverse information pertaining to Petitioner's refusal to comply with the former COVID-19 vaccination mandate, including but not limited to any punitive actions, "Page 13" entries subject to the contingency referenced in paragraph 5(a) above and should be implemented without delay.

c. Copies of this record of proceedings shall be provided to the DFAS and the Defense Health Agency to facilitate the estimate of all pay and benefits which may be due to the Petitioner as a result of the contingent corrective actions referenced in paragraph 5a above.

6. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above titled matter. I have assured compliance with the provisions of reference (f) in the Board's proceedings.

² Petitioner's failure to agree to this contingency, or to execute the terms of his reinstatement shall negate these recommendations. This contingency is applied in accordance with the guidance attached to reference (b).

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED],
USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

7. Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Secretary of the Navy in reference (f), I hereby approve the Board's recommendations and direct the corrective actions reflected in paragraph 5 above on his behalf.

9/3/2025

