

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED]

and recommended for retention. Petitioner issued Detachment evaluation for period of report from 12 November 2021 to 4 May 2022 and received Promotable promotion recommendation and recommended for retention.

d. Petitioner issued a not observed Periodic evaluation for period of report from 5 May 2022 to 15 November 2022 due to being on authorized absence subsequent to mobilization.

e. Petitioner's Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS) reflects his military service discontinued at EOS, 30 July 2023.

f. In fiscal year 2023, Petitioner completed 40 paid inactive duty training (IDT) periods.

g. Petitioner issued Periodic evaluation for period of report from 16 November 2016 to 15 November 2023 and received a Must Promote promotion recommendation and recommended for retention.

h. On 5 December 2023, Petitioner's NSIPS ESR reflects a reenlistment was retroactively entered with an effective date of 30 July 2023 for 2 years; EOS 29 July 2025.

i. On 14 February 2024, Petitioner was transferred to non-pay unit, [REDACTED] for unsatisfactory participation.

j. In fiscal year 2024, Petitioner's IDT record indicates 52 drills were adjudicated; 30 authorized absences, 22 non-day drills, and two non-pay additional.

k. On 16 October 2024, Petitioner reaffiliated with SELRES and assigned to [REDACTED].

l. On 25 April 2025, Commanding Officer, Navy Reserve Center (NRC) [REDACTED] recommended approval to correct Petitioner's record. [REDACTED] letter to the Board indicated that Petitioner's reenlistment was not updated in NSIPS in a timely manner and muster adjudication errors resulted in him being classified an unsatisfactory participant.

m. On 7 June 2025, Petitioner's NSIPS/ESR reflects a 3-year reenlistment; EOS 6 June 2028.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded Petitioner's record reflects he was recommended for retention, thereby eligible to reenlist prior to his 30 July 2023 EOS. However, as a result of administrative oversight as admitted by NRC [REDACTED], Petitioner's reenlistment was not properly processed, resulting in the gap in service. Therefore, the Board determined that under these circumstances, relief is warranted.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED]

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner executed NAVPERS 1070/601, Immediate Reenlistment Contract on 30 July 2023 for 2 years and the Service completed the reenlistment process in NSIPS in a timely manner.

Note: Commander, Navy Reserve Forces Command (N1) shall assist Petitioner with ensuring the gap in service is corrected in his DEERS record and is authorized to correct any other entries affected by the Board's recommendation.

A copy of this report of proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above titled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

2/2/2026

