



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No. 4788-25  
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED], USN,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. §1552  
(b) USD (P&R) Memo, “Updated Guidance on Correction of Military Records for Service Members Involuntary Separated for Refusal to Comply with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Vaccination Requirements,” 1 Apr 25  
(c) ALNAV 062/21, subj: 2021-2022 Department of Navy Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccination Policy, dtg 302126Z AUG 21  
(d) OPNAVINST 1160.8B, Selective Reenlistment Bonus Program, 1 Apr 19  
(e) FY24 SRB AWARD PLAN (N13 SRB 003/FY24) of 12 Aug 24  
(f) Executive Order 14184, Reinstating Service Members Discharged Under the Military’s COVID-19 Vaccination Mandate, 27 Jan 25  
(g) SECNAVINST 5420.193, Board for Correction of Naval Records, 19 Nov 97

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments  
(2) DD Form 214  
(3) Request for Advisory Opinion BUPERS-328, 17 Jul 25  
(4) Office of the CNO (N132) Advisory Opinion, 17 Jul 25  
(5) Retention Eligibility Memo, 18 Jul 25

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records, hereinafter referred to as the Board, pursuant to the guidance in reference (b). Specifically, Petitioner requested that his record be corrected to reflect that he was never separated from the Navy and that he continued to serve without interruption.

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED], reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 29 July 2025 and, pursuant to its governing policies and procedures, determined that the corrective action reflected in paragraph 5 below should be taken upon Petitioner naval record in the interests of justice. Documentary material considered by the Board included the enclosures; relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record; and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. Factual Background. The following are the relevant facts of Petitioner's case based upon review of his naval record and/or the matters provided with his application:

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED], USN,  
XXX-XX [REDACTED]

a. On 22 July 2020, Petitioner enlisted in the United States Navy for a period of eight years, with a four-year active-duty obligation. On 24 July 2020, Petitioner agreed to extend his enlistment for 12 months.

b. Petitioner entered active duty in the Navy pursuant to the enlistment described in paragraph 3a above on 25 August 2020. See enclosure (2).

c. On 19 July 2022, Petitioner was involuntarily discharged from the Navy for misconduct due to commission of a serious offense. The serious offense in question was his refusal to comply with the former COVID-19 vaccination mandate in reference (c), in violation of Article 92, Uniform Code of Military Justice.

d. By memorandum dated 17 July 2025, BUPERS-328 advised the Board that Petitioner's official military personnel file indicated Petitioner was a Aviation Boatswain's Mate Aircraft Handling Airman (ABHAN). Petitioner's Active Duty Service Date (ADSD) was 25 August 2020, and his Expiration of Active Obligated Service (EAOS) was 24 August 2024 with a Soft EAOS (SEAOS) of 24 August 2025. At the time of his EAOS he would not have been eligible for a Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB) unless promoted to E4 in accordance with reference (d). If Petitioner was promoted to E4 he would be eligible for a Zone A, ABH/0000 SRB 0.5 award level in accordance with reference (e). A three-year contract would have met SRB requirement by taking him into Zone B and changing his EAOS to 23 August 2027. See enclosure (3).

e. By memorandum dated 17 July 2025, the Office of the Chief of Naval Operations (N132) advised the Board that Petitioner would have been eligible to participate in the Navy-wide Advancement Examination (NWAE) Cycle 256 in September 2022 for an advancement opportunity to ABH3/E4 and if advanced to ABH3/E4 from cycle 256, effective date of advancement would have been between 16 January 2023 - 16 June 2023. The next advancement eligibility point to E5 would have been the September 2023 advancement Cycle 260 NWAE. See enclosure (4).

f. By memorandum dated 18 July 2025, Navy Recruiting Command certified that Petitioner was prescreened in accordance with reference (b) and determined to meet retention standards. See enclosure (5).

4. Conclusion. Upon careful review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board found an injustice warranting relief.

a. According to reference (f), the COVID-19 vaccination mandate was an "unfair, overbroad, and completely unnecessary burden on our Service members" and the military "unjustly discharged those who refused the vaccine." Since there was no other basis for administrative separation apparent in Petitioner's naval record, the Board therefore found an injustice in that Petitioner was involuntarily separated from the Navy solely for refusing the former COVID-19 vaccination mandate.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED], USN,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

b. Having found an injustice in that Petitioner was involuntarily separated from the Navy solely for refusing the former COVID-19 vaccination mandate, the Board also found an injustice in any and all adverse actions that followed from or contributed to that separation.

5. Recommendations. Having found an injustice in that Petitioner was involuntarily separated from the Navy solely for refusing the former COVID-19 vaccination mandate, the Board recommends that the following corrective actions be taken on Petitioner's naval record.

a. The following recommended corrective actions are contingent upon Petitioner's acceptance of the Navy's offer of reinstatement subject to the terms of reference (b).<sup>1</sup>

(1) That Petitioner's naval record be corrected to reflect that he was not discharged from the Navy on 19 July 2022, but rather that he continued to serve honorably in the rates indicated in paragraph 5a(2) below with no break in service at his last duty station. As such, Petitioner is to receive constructive service credit for the period from 19 July 2022 until the date that he is reinstated in the Navy. To effectuate this relief, Navy Personnel Command (NPC) is directed to scrub Petitioner's record and remove any and all references to Petitioner's discharge, including but not necessarily limited to any documents related to his administrative separation process.

(2) That Petitioner's naval record be corrected to reflect that he was advanced to ABH3 with an effective date of advancement of 16 January 2023. NPC will make all appropriate service record entries of his corrected advancement date.

(3) That Petitioner's naval record be corrected to reflect that he executed a three-year reenlistment contract on his EAOS date of 24 August 2024. Per enclosure (3), such reenlistment would entitle Petitioner to a Zone A, ABH/0000 0.5 award level SRB.

(4) That appropriate administrative fillers be inserted into Petitioner's naval record to remove the gap in his record between July 2022 and his eventual reinstatement date during which he did not receive any evaluation reports.

b. The Board further recommends that NPC scrub Petitioner's naval record and remove any adverse information pertaining to Petitioner's refusal to comply with the former COVID-19 vaccination mandate, including but not limited to any punitive actions, "Page 13" entries, adverse evaluation reports (to be replaced with appropriate administrative fillers) and Certificate of Release from Active Duty (DD Form 214). This recommended corrective action is not subject to the contingency referenced in paragraph 5(a) above and should be implemented without delay.

c. Copies of this record of proceedings shall be provided to the Defense Finance and Accounting Service and the Defense Health Agency to facilitate the estimate of all pay and benefits which may be due to the Petitioner as a result of the contingent corrective actions referenced in paragraph 5a above.

---

<sup>1</sup> Petitioner's failure to agree to this contingency, or to execute the terms of his reinstatement shall negate these recommendations. This contingency is applied in accordance with the guidance attached to reference (b).

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED], USN,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

6. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above titled matter. I have assured compliance with the provisions of reference (g) in the Board's proceedings.

7. Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Secretary of the Navy in reference (g), I hereby approve the Board's recommendations and direct the corrective actions reflected in paragraph 5 above on his behalf.

8/7/2025

