



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████
Docket No. 5151-25
Ref: Signature Date

████████████████████
████████████████
██████████████

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 19 September 2025. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the U.S. Navy and began a period of active duty service on 26 February 2003. Your enlistment physical examination, on 12 September 2002, and self-reported medical history both noted no psychiatric or neurologic issues, symptoms, history, or counseling. On 13 June 2003 you reported for duty with ██████████ (██████) on board Naval Air Station, ██████████, ██████████.

On 21 January 2004, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for unauthorized absence (UA). You did not appeal your NJP.

Your DD Form 214 notes "time lost" in a UA status for 26 - 27 October 2005. On 10 January 2006, you received NJP for: (a) UA, and (b) a failure to obey and order or regulation. You did not appeal your NJP.

Following your second NJP, your command notified you that you were being processed for an administrative discharge by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. Your command processed your separation using “notification procedures,” which meant you were not entitled to an administrative separation board and the least favorable discharge characterization you could receive was General (Under Honorable Conditions) (GEN).

On 30 January 2006, your command placed a “Page 13” entry in your service record noting that you were not eligible for reenlistment and were being assigned an RE-4 reentry code. On the same day, you were separated from the Navy for misconduct with a GEN discharge characterization and assigned an RE-4 reentry code.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire for a discharge upgrade and contentions that: (a) you were not a bad Sailor, you were overwhelmed and struggling, but not once were any of your actions or conduct malevolently motivated, (b) you should receive an upgrade because your discharge should never have happened, (c) losing your place in the Navy devastated you and, since then, you’ve done nothing but destroyed lives¹, and (d) upgrading your discharge will permit your children to access your GI Bill. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board considered the totality of your application; which consisted of your DD Form 149, your personal statement, and excerpts from your military record.

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. The Board did not believe that your record was otherwise so meritorious as to deserve a discharge upgrade. The Board concluded that significant negative aspects of your conduct and/or performance greatly outweighed any positive aspects of your military record. The Board determined that characterization under GEN or under Other Than Honorable (OTH) conditions is generally warranted for misconduct and is appropriate when the basis for separation is the commission of an act or acts constituting a significant departure from the conduct expected of a Sailor. The Board determined that the record clearly reflected your misconduct was intentional and willful and indicated you were unfit for further service. The Board also noted that the evidence of record did not demonstrate that you were not mentally responsible for your conduct or that you should not otherwise be held accountable for your actions.

The Board observed that character of military service is based, in part, on conduct and overall trait averages which are computed from marks assigned during periodic evaluations. Your overall active duty trait average calculated from your available performance evaluations during your enlistment was approximately 2.40 in conduct. Navy regulations in place at the time of your discharge recommended a minimum trait average of 2.50 in conduct (proper military behavior), for a fully Honorable characterization of service. The Board concluded that your conduct marks during your active duty career were a direct result of your misconduct which further justified your GEN discharge characterization and no higher. Finally, absent a material

¹ The Board also noted you stated that you are currently incarcerated in prison.

error or injustice, the Board declined to summarily upgrade a discharge solely for the purpose of facilitating veterans' benefits, or enhancing educational or employment opportunities.

As a result, the Board determined that there was no impropriety or inequity in your discharge, and the Board concluded that your cumulative misconduct and disregard for good order and discipline while in the Navy clearly merited your discharge. Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

9/29/2025

