



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]  
Docket No. 5643-25  
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED],  
USN, [REDACTED]

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. §1552  
(b) DEPSECDEF Memo, subj: Actions to Address Potential Injustices within Military Records of Former Service Members Administratively Separated Based on Their Sexual Orientation, 3 January 2025  
(c) USD (P&R) Memo, subj: Correction of Military Records Following Repeal of Section 654 of Title 10, United States Code, 20 September 2011  
(d) USD (P&R) Memo, subj: Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military / Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations, 25 July 2018  
(e) SECNAVINST 5420.193, Board for Correction of Naval Records, 19 November 1997

Encl: (1) ASN (M&RA) Memo, subj: Group Application Pursuant to 10 U.S.C. §1552(b) – Similarly Harmed Veterans Administratively Separated Based Solely upon their Sexual Orientation with less than a Fully Honorable Characterization of Service since 1 January 1980  
(2) DD Form 149  
(3) DD Form 214  
(4) NIS Form 5000, NIS Investigation ICO [Petitioner], 12 November 1986  
(5) NAVPERS P607-7R, Court Memorandum, 17 November 1986  
(6) [REDACTED] ([REDACTED]) CO Memo, subj: Notice of an Administrative Board Procedure Proposed Action, 15 November 1986  
(7) Petitioner's Memo, subj: Statement of Awareness and Request for, or Waiver of, Privileges, 15 November 1986  
(8) [REDACTED] ([REDACTED]) Message, subj: Recommendation for Admin Separation by Reason of Misconduct due to Homosexuality ICO [Petitioner], dtg 1522017Z NOV 86  
(9) C [REDACTED] Message, subj: Admin Disch ICO [Petitioner], dtg 191945Z NOV 86

1. In accordance with subsection (b) of reference (a) and the terms of the settlement agreement in the case of *Farrell, et. al. v. U.S. Department of Defense, et. al.*, as implemented by reference (b), the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) (ASN (M&RA)) filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records, hereinafter referred to as the Board, on behalf of a group of similarly harmed Sailors and Marines whose DD Form 214 reflects that they were discharged due to their sexual orientation with less than a fully honorable

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED],  
USN, [REDACTED]

characterization of service. The Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (2) with the Board, thus opting in to this group application review. A preliminary review of enclosure (3) revealed that Petitioner met the criteria for inclusion in this group application.

2. A three-member panel of the Board, meeting in executive session, conducted an individualized review of the Subject's naval record on 24 June 2025, and found sufficient evidence of an injustice warranting the corrective action recommended in paragraph 5 below. Documentary material considered by the Board included the enclosures; relevant portions of the Subject's naval record; and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include references (c) and (d).

3. Factual Background. Following are the relevant facts of Petitioner's case based upon review of his naval record:

a. Petitioner enlisted in the U.S. Navy began a period of active duty service on 8 February 1985. See enclosure (3).

b. In November 1986, a Naval Investigative Service (NIS) investigation revealed that Petitioner had engaged in homosexual conduct during his period of service. See enclosure (4).

c. On 15 November 1986, Petitioner received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for three specifications of sodomy. He was awarded confinement on bread and water for three days, forfeiture of \$358.00 pay per month for two months, and reduction in rank to E-2. See enclosure (5).

d. On the same date by memorandum, Petitioner was formally notified via the administrative board procedures that he was being considered for administrative discharge by reason of homosexuality. See enclosure (6).

e. Petitioner acknowledged the notification referenced in paragraph 3d above and, on the same date, waived all of his procedural rights with regard to the administrative discharge board process. See enclosure (7).

f. By message dated 15 November 1986, Petitioner's commanding officer recommended that Petitioner be administratively separated from the Navy with an other than honorable (OTH) discharge due to homosexuality. See enclosure (8).

g. By message dated 19 November 1986, the separation authority directed that the Subject be discharged from the Navy under other than honorable (OTH) conditions due to homosexuality. See enclosure (9).

h. On 1 December 1986, Petitioner was discharged from the Navy under OTH conditions due to homosexuality. See enclosure (3).

4. Conclusions.

a. In accordance with reference (c), the Board should normally grant requests to change the narrative reason for a discharge, requests to re-characterize the discharge to honorable, and/or

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED],  
USN, [REDACTED]

requests to change the reentry code to an immediately-eligible-to-reenter category when both of the following conditions are met: (1) the original discharge was based solely on the former “Don’t Ask, Don’t Tell” (DADT) or similar policy in place prior to enactment of DADT and (2) there were no aggravating factors in the record, such as misconduct. While the record contains an instance of additional misconduct—specifically, nonjudicial punishment for three specifications of consensual sodomy—the Board determined that this did not constitute a separate basis for administration processing. Rather, the separation was solely based on Petitioner’s homosexual admission. Accordingly, the Board found Petitioner’s case falls within the general guidance of (c).

b. In accordance with reference (d), the Board considered the totality of the circumstances to determine whether equitable relief is warranted in the interests of justice in accordance with reference (d). In this regard, the Board considered, amongst other factors, that homosexuality is now permitted within the military and that a current Service member under the same circumstances today would therefore reasonably expect to receive a more favorable outcome than Petitioner received; that Petitioner’s performance and attitude was favorably described in his performance evaluations; that there was no other in-service misconduct besides the aforementioned NJP, in Petitioner’s naval record; that Petitioner concealed his sexual orientation for the noble purpose of volunteering to serve his Nation; and the passage of time since Petitioner’s discharge. Based upon these factors, the Board determined that equitable relief is warranted in the interests of justice.

c. The Board also found an injustice in the fact that the narrative reason for separation reflected on Petitioner’s DD Form 214 includes a reference to his sexual orientation. Due to the inclusion of this narrative reason for separation on his DD Form 214, Petitioner must disclose personal information regarding his sexual orientation and/or previous sexual activity whenever he has cause to prove his military service for whatever reason. Other Sailors are not required to reveal such personal information when providing evidence of their former military service. This constitutes an unreasonable burden and violation of Petitioner’s privacy interests.

5. Recommendation. Based upon the conclusions in paragraph 4 above, the Board recommends the following corrective action be taken on Petitioner’s naval record:

a. That Petitioner be issued a new DD Form 214 reflecting that his service ending on 1 December 1986 was characterized as “Honorable”; that his narrative reason for separation was “Secretary Plenary Authority”; that his separation authority was “MILPERSMAN 3630900”; that his separation code was “JFF”; and that his reentry code was “RE-1.”

b. That Petitioner be issued an Honorable Discharge Certificate.

c. That a copy of this record of proceedings be filed in Petitioner’s naval record.

d. That no further corrective action be taken on Petitioner’s naval record.

6. It is certified that quorum was present at the Board’s review and deliberations and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board’s proceedings in the above titled matter. I have assured compliance with the provisions of reference (e).

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED],  
USN, [REDACTED]

7. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in reference (e), the corrective action described above has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy in accordance with reference (a).

7/7/2025

