



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

██████████
Docket No. 6270-25
Ref: Signature Date

██
██
██

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 13 May 2026. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations, and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, along with the Advisory Opinion (AO) provided by Navy Personnel Command. The AO was provided to you on 16 July 2025, and you were given 30 days in which to submit a response. Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal to the AO, you chose not to do so.

The Board carefully considered your request to remove your evaluation covering the period of 21 June 2022 to 15 March 2023 from your Official Military Personnel File or, in the alternative, replace the evaluation with a new evaluation that fills a nine month gap. You contend that the evaluation should be removed because comments contained in the evaluation stating that you demonstrated a lack of core values during duty, are not accurate.

The Board, however, substantially concurred with the AO in finding you did not demonstrate probable material error, substantive inaccuracy, or injustice warranting removal or replacement of your evaluation. As the AO explained, your Reporting Senior (RS) is charged with commenting on the performance and characteristics of each member under his or her command, and is responsible for determining what information is included in an evaluation. For relief to be granted in your case, you would have to provide sufficient evidence to show that either there was no rational support for your RS's comments, or that your RS acted for an illegal or otherwise improper purpose. The Board agrees with the AO that you have not met this burden. The Board

additionally noted that you signed the evaluation and indicated you did not intend to submit a statement in rebuttal, which was your right to contest the content of the evaluation at the time of issuance. For these reasons, the Board agreed with the AO in finding your evaluation is valid as written.

Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

5/29/2026

