



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

Docket No. 6438-25
Ref: Signature Date

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 18 March 2026. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations, and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, as well as the 15 May 2025 decision furnished by the Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Review Board (PERB) and the 26 March 2025 advisory opinion (AO) provided to the PERB by the Manpower Management Performance Branch (MMPB-23). The AO was provided to you and you were given 30 days in which to submit a response. Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a response to the AO, you chose not to do so.

The Board carefully considered your request to modify your fitness report covering the period of 1 May 2024 to 1 October 2024, by removing the adverse mark and changing it to a regular report. You contend that the adverse mark resulted from an accusation that you were out of height and weight standards on 5 June 2024. You believed, however, that you were exempt from body weight and fat percentage requirements, under Marine Corps Order 6110.3A, due to scores earned on your Command Fitness Test (CFT) and Physical Fitness Test (PFT). You further contend you were notified of the deficiency six months after your height and weight were recorded, on 5 June 2024, and that you never received any negative paperwork regarding the issue, were not afforded the opportunity to investigate if you had any health related issue or if you might qualify for any waivers, and you were, at the time, recovering from multiple knee surgeries that required a medical waiver.

The Board, however, concurred with the AO in its entirety and found you did not demonstrate probable material error, substantive inaccuracy, or injustice warranting modification of your fitness report. Specifically, the Board agreed with the AO that your adverse mark resulted from your failure to meet body composition standards on 5 June 2024, as well as the recommendation that you not be considered for promotion with your contemporaries. Per the Marine Corps Performance Evaluation System (PES) Manual, a non-recommendation for promotion, alone, warrants an adverse mark. The Board also agreed with the AO that, although you provided some evidence of having been placed on a limited duty status and currently meeting standards, that does not change the fact that you were out of standards on 5 June 2024. Lastly, as the AO also identified, Marines on light or limited duty are still required to comply with body composition standards and, if the Marine exceeds the maximum allowed, the report is averse unless specific exceptions apply. The Board found no exceptions applied to you during the reporting period. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

4/2/2026

