



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

██████████  
Docket No. 6689-25  
Ref: Signature Date

████████████████████  
████████████████████  
████████████████

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your case on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 1 April 2026. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies, as well as the ██████████ decision by the Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Review Board (PERB) and the ██████████ Advisory Opinion (AO) provided to the PERB by the Headquarters Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Section (MMPB-23). Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, you chose not to do so.

The Board determined your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

The Board carefully considered your request to remove the fitness report for the reporting period 1 June 2019 to 29 February 2020 because you contend the report appears to be based on a "performance issue" rather than the medical procedure that served as the impetus for your change of duty. Specifically, you contend that, faced with the option to continue as ██████████ or step aside to allow recovery time after your heart procedure, you chose to step down. However, rather than allow a permanent change of station move for the good of the service based on your medical condition, you impliedly contend you were unjustly moved to another command to complete your recruiting duty tour. You further explained that you initially

did not “think it would affect [you] long-term” but after discussion with a career counselor, you have requested removal based on his recommendation to have the report removed.

The Board, however, determined the fitness report was valid as written and filed, in accordance with the applicable Performance Evaluation System Manual. The Board, concurring with the AO, noted you do not contend nor provide evidence showing you were unfairly graded or that your performance and conduct deserved higher marks. The Board further noted the absence of supporting statements from your reporting officials to confirm the described situation. Thus, based on the available evidence, the Board concluded there is insufficient evidence of an error or injustice warranting removal of the fitness report. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely, \_\_\_\_\_

4/7/2026

