



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

██████████  
Docket No. 7337-25  
Ref: Signature Date

██████████  
██████████  
████████████████████████████████████████  
  
Dear ██████████,

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 24 March 2026. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion contained in Commander, Naval Supply Systems Command (NAVSUP) letter Ser SUP 04/079 of 29 August 2025. The advisory opinion was provided to you on 26 January 2026, and you were given 30 days in which to submit a response. Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, you did not do so.

The Board determined your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

You requested payment of \$3,218.73 before taxes for the remainder of your personally procured move (PPM). The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, to include your assertions. You assert that NAVSUP used incorrect gross vehicle weight rating weights for both the privately owned vehicle and the trailer. However, the Board determined that NAVSUP has reexamined the audit of your PPM claim and reviewed your request with the enclosed manufacturer's weight classification for your truck and travel trailer, which was not in your original PPM package. The Navy Household Goods Audit Office re-audited your PPM claim, using this information and you were reimbursed for an additional \$1,229.88. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments

contained in the aforementioned advisory opinion. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

In the absence of sufficient new evidence for reconsideration, the decision of the Board is final, and your only recourse would be to seek relief, at no cost to the Board, from a court of appropriate jurisdiction.

Sincerely,

4/1/2026

