



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

██████████
Docket No. 7777-25
Ref: Signature Date

██
██
██

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 15 April 2026. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations, and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

The Board determined your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

The Board carefully considered your request to correct your Official Military Personnel File (OMPF) to reflect that your limited duty status (LIMDU) and medical limitations unfairly impacted your competitiveness for selection in the Fiscal Year 2023 (FY-23) and Fiscal Year 2024 (FY-24) promotion cycles, and that a Special Selection Board (SSB) be convened to reevaluate your record for promotion. You contend that your extended assignment at Navy Consolidated Brig, ██████████, between 2016 and 2020, due to your LIMDU status and a Physical Evaluation Board (PEB) referral, followed by an additional period of LIMDU after your transfer to the ██████████, disrupted your sea-shore rotation and limited your advancement opportunities. You additionally contend that administrative errors in your evaluations may have contributed to your failures of selection. You specifically cite an error in Block 20 of your 18 November 2015 through 17 November 2016 evaluation, in that "PBB" is incorrectly listed; an error in Block 20 of your 20 November 2015 through 19 November 2016

evaluation, in that “BNN” is incorrectly listed; and the misspelling of the word “award” in Block 43 of your 19 November 2015 to 18 November 2016 evaluation.

As a preliminary matter, regarding the misspelling of the word “award,” the Board noted an Evaluation Report Letter-Supplement was submitted on 16 December 2019 directing correction of this error, and, as such, the Board took no action on this aspect of your application.

Regarding your remaining requests, the Board found that you did not demonstrate probable material error, substantive inaccuracy, or injustice warranting the relief requested. Specifically, although you speculate your LIMDU status and irregular sea-shore rotation negatively impacted your competitiveness for promotion, the Board noted you provided no evidence in support of your speculation. Further, even if these factors impacted your competitiveness, the Board found no evidence suggesting your placement on LIMDU, which is a medical officer decision, was unjust or erroneous. Finally, although you contend errors in Block 20 of two of your evaluations, you do not provide evidence as to why the codes contained in these blocks were incorrect, or evidence supporting alternate codes.

Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

4/27/2026

