



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

██████████
Docket No. 8108-25
Ref: Signature Date

████████████████████
████████████████
████████████████████

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Because your application was submitted with new evidence not previously considered, the Board found it in the interest of justice to review your application. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 13 April 2026. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon your request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 August 2017 guidance from the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (Kurta Memo and the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo). The Board also considered the advisory opinion (AO) furnished by a qualified mental health professional. Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit an AO rebuttal, you chose not to do so.

You twice previously applied to this Board for an upgrade to your characterization of service, which were denied on 2 December 2008 and 19 September 2018. The summary of your service remains substantially unchanged from that addressed in the Board's previous decision.

In your current application to this Board, you express a desire for your discharge character of service be upgraded and contend that:

- You incurred mental health issues during military service.
- Although you previously applied for an upgrade, you did not adequately present information for the Board's consideration.

- You take full responsibility for your actions and conduct during your service. Personal issues adversely affected your performance and you failed to correct your deficiencies.
- You regret your Other Than Honorable (OTH) discharge and assert that it has remained a significant personal failure.
- You have experienced personal growth since your separation and respectfully request that the Board consider your post-service conduct in support of an upgrade.

After careful review, the Board reached the following conclusions and denied your application for relief.

The Board initially concluded you were appropriately processed for administrative separation based on your record of misconduct. The Board noted you do not deny committing the misconduct that formed the basis of your administrative separation and OTH discharge. Therefore, the Board determined the presumption of regularity applies to your OTH discharge and no error exists with your record.

However, based on your assertions that you incurred mental health issues during military service, which may have contributed to the circumstances of your separation from service, a qualified mental health professional reviewed your request for correction to your record and provided the Board with an AO on 5 January 2026. The AO stated in pertinent part:

There is evidence that the Petitioner was diagnosed with an Adjustment Disorder and Personality Disorder in service. An Adjustment Disorder varies from a primary mental health condition such that symptoms are expected to resolve once external stressors are removed. His anecdote in service as well as character statements from his family members are consistent with situation induced stressors. His personality disorder diagnosis was based on observed behaviors and performance during his period of service, the information he chose to disclose to the mental health clinician, and the psychological evaluation performed by the mental health clinician. A personality disorder diagnosis is pre-existing to military service, and indicates lifelong characterological traits unsuitable for military service, since they are not typically amenable to treatment within the operational requirements of Naval Service.

He did not submit any medical evidence in support of his claim. Additional records (e.g., active-duty medical records, post-service mental health records describing the Petitioner's diagnosis, symptoms, and their specific link to his separation) may aid in rendering an alternate opinion.

The AO concluded, "Based on the available evidence, it is my clinical opinion that there is sufficient evidence of diagnoses of Adjustment Disorder and Personality Disorder in service. There is insufficient evidence that his misconduct was caused by any primary mental health condition."

The Board applied liberal consideration to your claim that you suffered from a mental health condition, and to the effect that this condition may have had upon the conduct for which you were discharged in accordance with the Kurta Memo. Applying such liberal consideration, the Board found sufficient evidence of a diagnosis of mental health condition that may be attributed to military service. This conclusion is supported by the AO and your in-service medical records. However, even applying liberal consideration, the Board found insufficient evidence to conclude that the misconduct for which you were discharged was excused or mitigated by your mental health condition. In this regard, the Board simply had insufficient information available upon which to make such a conclusion. Moreover, even if the Board assumed that your misconduct was somehow attributable to any mental health conditions, the Board unequivocally concluded that the severity of your serious misconduct more than outweighed the potential mitigation offered by any mental health conditions.

In addition to applying liberal consideration to your claim that you suffered from a mental health condition, and to the effect that this condition may have had upon the conduct for which you were discharged in accordance with the Kurta Memo, the Board also considered the totality of the circumstances to determine whether equitable relief was warranted in the interests of justice in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. In this regard, the Board considered, amongst other factors, your desire for an upgrade to your characterization of service, your contentions, the totality of your service to include service highlights¹, your need for veterans' benefits², the non-violent nature of your misconduct, your relative youth and immaturity at the time of your misconduct, the negative effect your discharge has had on your life, your rehabilitation efforts, your post-service record of accomplishments, your candor and remorse, your service to your community, your mental health issues, the evidence you provided in support of your application, your advanced age, the character references you provided for review, and the passage of time since your discharge.

The Board found that the mitigating factors were not nearly sufficient to justify any equitable relief. Specifically, the Board found that the severity of your misconduct far outweighed all of the mitigating factors combined. In particular, the Board found that your conduct showed a complete disregard for military authority and regulations. The Board observed you were given multiple opportunities to correct your conduct deficiencies but chose to continue to commit misconduct, which led to your OTH discharge. Your conduct not only showed a pattern of misconduct but was sufficiently pervasive and serious to negatively affect the good order and discipline of your command. Therefore, even taking into consideration all the mitigation factors in your case, the Board found that your misconduct while on active duty outweighed the mitigation evidence offered. The Board believed that it would be unjust to characterize your less than honorable service in the same manner as the service of the thousands of service members who, unlike you, honorably completed their enlistments without engaging in misconduct warranting the early curtailment of their service. While the Board commends you for your post-

¹ As discussed in your previous decision letter, you served a period of Honorable service from 29 April 1977 to 28 April 1981.

² Based on information from one of your advocacy letters, the Board was able to discern you receive medical care from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). However, it was not clear whether the VA deemed you eligible for compensation and pension benefits based on your first enlistment period. If not, the Board recommends you contact the VA and provide evidence of your first enlistment period. Such honorable service typically qualifies for VA compensation and pension benefits.

service accomplishments and truly appreciates your expression of remorse, ultimately, the Board concluded an upgrade of your discharge characterization of service is not warranted in the interests of justice.

Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity is attached to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

5/19/2026

█

Executive Director

Signed by: █