



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

Docket No. 9108-25
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] USN, XXX-XX [REDACTED]

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552
(b) Petitioner's Naval Record

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments
(2) Commander, Navy Personnel Command (PERS-95), ltr or, Board for Correction of Naval Records, ltr 5400 Ser 95/814 of 6 October 2025

1. Pursuant to the provisions of the reference, Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that her naval record be corrected to reflect that she was placed on the permanent disability retired list (PDRL).

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED] reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 18 March 2026 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the references, enclosures, relevant portions of naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies, to include enclosure (2), an advisory opinion (AO) prepared by Navy Personnel Command (PERS-95), that was considered favorable to Petitioner.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, found as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulation within the Department of the Navy.

b. A review of reference (b), reveals Petitioner enlisted in the Navy, commenced active duty on 6 June 2012. She completed a period of active duty service on 5 June 2016, whereupon she was transferred to the Navy Reserve. According to the enclosure (2), Petitioner was discharged from the Navy Reserve on 12 November 2024.

c. While Petitioner was still in the Navy Reserve, she was placed into the Disability Evaluation System (DES) and reviewed by an Informal Physical Evaluation Board (IPEB). After Petitioner was discharged from the Navy Reserve, the PEB continued adjudication of her case and found her to be unfit for:

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] USN, XXX-XX [REDACTED]

1. OTHER CEREBRAL INFARCTION: STABLE Codes: 8520 20%, 8207 10%, 8513 40% totaling 60%;

2. SYNCOPE AND COLLAPSE: STABLE 7010-7011 10%

2.1. VENTRICULAR TACHYCARDIA, UNSPECIFIED: STABLE

Related Category 1 Diagnosis.

Not combat-related and not incurred in a combat zone.

For a total of 60%

d. In its decision, the IPEB stated, “The IPEB Unfit decision for these conditions are specifically approved by in the Line of Duty Benefits Letter.” On 28 April 2025, President, PEB, informed the Chief of Naval Personnel that Petitioner was unfit and should be placed on the PDRL at 60%.

e. Despite her DES status and the eventual PEB findings, Petitioner was separated from the Navy Reserve. This resulted in her not being placed on the PDRL. In her application, Petitioner requests to be placed on the PDRL per the finding of the IPEB so that she can obtain Tricare insurance and medical treatment.

f. In order to assist it in considering Petitioner’s application, the Board sought the enclosure (2). In reaching its favorable opinion, the AO explained that Petitioner, being in the Navy Reserve at the relevant time, had an approved Line of Duty – Health Care (LOD-HC) signed by PERS-95 and was subsequently referred to the DES. Further, according to the AO, the PEB found Petitioner was unfit and placed on the PDRL. She was notified of the board results in April 2025, however, she had already separated from the Navy Reserve on 12 November 2024.

g. The AO concluded, “PERS-95 recommends that the Board for Corrections of Naval Records grant [Petitioner’s] request to be placed on the PDRL, beginning the day she separated from the Navy Reserves.”

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concluded that Petitioner’s request warrants relief.

The Board, concurring with PERS-95 AO, determined that there was an error in Petitioner’s naval record with respect to her discharge from the Navy Reserve on 12 November 2024 and the failure to have been placed on the PDRL.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the above, the Board directs the following corrective action.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], USN, [REDACTED]

That Petitioner be placed on the PDRL effective the date she was discharged from the Navy Reserve (12 November 2024) as follows:

1. OTHER CEREBRAL INFARCTION: STABLE Codes: 8520 20%, 8207 10%, 8513 40% totaling 60%;

2. SYNCOPE AND COLLAPSE: STABLE 7010-7011 10%

2.1. VENTRICULAR TACHYCARDIA, UNSPECIFIED: STABLE

Related Category 1 Diagnosis.

Not combat-related and not incurred in a combat zone.

For a total of 60%

The Defense Finance and Accounting Service shall audit the Petitioner's pay account for payment of back pay to the date of Petitioner's placement on the PDRL and any lawful monies owed.

That a copy of this report of proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

4/8/2026

[REDACTED]