



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

██████████
Docket No. 9333-25
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER ██████████
██████████ XXX XX ██████████ USMC

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552
(b) Petitioner's Naval Record

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments

1. Pursuant to the provisions of the reference, Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to reflect that he was placed on the permanent disability retired list (PDRL) based on his diabetes condition being rated at 40%.
2. The Board, consisting of ██████████, ██████████, and ██████████, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 18 March 2026 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the references, enclosures, relevant portions of naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.
3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, found as follows:
 - a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulation within the Department of the Navy.
 - b. A review of reference (b) reveals Petitioner enlisted in the Marine Corps and commenced active duty on 27 January 2009. While Petitioner was on active duty, he was placed into the Integrated Disability Evaluation System (IDES). In its role within the DES, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) provided a proposed rating of 20% for Petitioner's condition under review.
 - c. On 6 October 2022, Petitioner filed an appeal of his VA rating. The VA did not change its proposed rating (20%) and the PEB was therefore constrained to follow the rating of the VA, which, as noted, was issued by the VA in its role within the IDES. On 15 February 2023, Petitioner was discharged due to disability with severance based on his unfit finding the IDES and its application of the 20% disability rating assigned by the VA.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] XXX XX [REDACTED] USMC

d. On 13 August 2025, the Board of Veterans Appeals (BVA) granted Petitioner's appeal and found that the 20% finding by the VA was in error and that it should have been a 40% rating.

e. In support of his application, Petitioner relies on the BVA grant of his appeal concerning his disability rating percentage.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concluded that Petitioner's request warrants relief.

While the Board found no error with Petitioner's discharge with severance based on the PEB's reliance of the VA's proposed disability rating of 20%, it determined that an injustice exists in Petitioner's naval record. Specifically, the Board considered that the BVA change the VA's rating from 20% to 40% after Petitioner was discharged with severance. The Board determined that this amounted to an injustice since the VA incorrectly assigned Petitioner a proposed 20% rating which was relied upon by the PEB in assigning Petitioner his final PEB disability rating. Based on the BVA decision to increase Petitioner's disability rating to 40%, the Board determined it was in the interests of justice to modify Petitioner's PEB rating to match the BVA directed VA rating and transfer him to the PDRL.

RECOMMENDATION

In view of the above, the Board directs the following corrective action.

That Petitioner be placed on the PDRL effective the date he was discharge from active duty (15 February 2023) for the following condition:

TYPE 1 DIABETES MELLITUS WITHOUT COMPLICATIONS (STABLE) 7913 40%

This results in a combined rating of 40%.

That Petitioner be issued a new Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) reflecting Narrative Reason for Separation: Disability, Permanent (NCR/NCZ), Separation Program Designator: As Appropriate; Reentry Code: RE-3P.

The Defense Finance and Accounting Service shall audit the Petitioner's pay account for payment of back pay to the date of Petitioner's placement on the PDRL and any lawful monies owed.

That a copy of this report of proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e)) and

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] XXX XX [REDACTED] USMC

having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

4/8/2026

