



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE RD
ARLINGTON, VA 22204

██████████
Docket No. 2078-26
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER ██████████,
USN, XXX-XX-██████████

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. §1552
(b) DEPSECDEF Memo, subj: Actions to Address Potential Injustices within Military Records of Former Service Members Administratively Separated Based on Their Sexual Orientation, 3 January 2025
(c) USD (P&R) Memo, subj: Correction of Military Records Following Repeal of Section 654 of Title 10, United States Code, 20 September 2011
(d) USD (P&R) Memo, subj: Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military / Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations, 25 July 2018
(e) SECNAVINST 5420.193, Board for Correction of Naval Records, 19 November 1997

Encl: (1) ASN (M&RA) Memo, subj: Group Application Pursuant to 10 U.S.C. §1552(b) – Similarly Harmed Veterans Administratively Separated Based Solely upon their Sexual Orientation with less than a Fully Honorable Characterization of Service since 1 January 1980
(2) DD Form 149
(3) DD Form 214
(4) NAVPERS P607-7R, Court Memorandum, 24 July 1982
(5) ██████████ (CVN 68) CO Memo CVN68/114 1910, subj: Notification of administrative separation processing, 30 April 1984
(6) Petitioner's Memo, subj: Statement of Awareness and request for, or waiver of, privileges, 3 May 1984
(7) Examination by the Board, 5 June 1984
(8) CO, ██████████ ltr, 18 June 1984
(9) COMNAVMILPERSCOM Message, subj: Admin Disch ICO [Petitioner] dtg 011346Z JUL 84
(10) COMNAVMILPERSCOM Message, subj: Admin Disch ICO [Petitioner] dtg 111451Z JUL 84

1. In accordance with subsection (b) of reference (a) and the terms of the settlement agreement in the case of *Farrell, et. al. v. U.S. Department of Defense, et. al.*, as implemented by reference (b), the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Manpower and Reserve Affairs) (ASN (M&RA)) filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records, hereinafter referred to as the Board, on behalf of a group of similarly harmed Sailors and Marines whose DD Form 214 reflects that they were discharged due to their sexual orientation with less than a fully honorable characterization of service. The Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (2)

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED],
USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

with the Board, thus opting in to this group application review. A preliminary review of enclosure (3) revealed that Petitioner met the criteria for inclusion in this group application.

2. A three-member panel of the Board, meeting in executive session, conducted an individualized review of the Subject's naval record on 11 March 2026, and found sufficient evidence of an injustice warranting the corrective action recommended in paragraph 5 below. Documentary material considered by the Board included the enclosures; relevant portions of the Subject's naval record; and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include references (c) and (d).

3. Factual Background. Following are the relevant facts of Petitioner's case based upon review of his naval record:

a. Petitioner enlisted in the U.S. Navy and began a period of active duty on 24 September 1981. See enclosure (3).

b. On 24 July 1982, Petitioner received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) in violation of Article 86, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ).¹ His punishment consisted of the forfeiture of \$50.00 pay per month for one month and seven days of restriction and extra duty. See enclosure (4).

c. On 30 April 1984, Petitioner was formally notified that he was being considered for administrative discharge from the Navy by reason of homosexuality. See enclosure (5).

d. On 3 May 1984, Petitioner acknowledged the notification referenced in paragraph 3c above and elected his procedural rights to consult with counsel and to have his case heard before an administrative discharge board. See enclosure (6).

e. On 5 June 1984, an administrative discharge board was convened to consider Petitioner's case. After considering all of the evidence and hearing all of the testimony, the administrative discharge board unanimously found that the preponderance of the evidence supported the allegations of homosexuality. By a vote of 3 to 0, the discharge board recommended he be discharged from the Navy with a general (under honorable conditions) (GEN) characterization of service. See enclosure (7).

f. By memorandum dated 18 June 1984, Petitioner's commanding officer concurred with the administrative board's decision that Petitioner be separated, but disagreed with the recommended characterization of service, and instead recommended that Petitioner be discharged under other than honorable (OTH) conditions by reason of homosexuality. See enclosure (8).

g. By message dated 1 July 1984, the separation authority directed that the Petitioner be discharged from the Navy with an OTH discharge by reason of homosexuality. See enclosure (9).

¹ Petitioner left his appointed place of duty.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED],
USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

h. A subsequent message dated 11 July 1984, from the separation authority modified the prior directive and directed that Petitioner be discharged from the Navy with a GEN characterization of service by reason of homosexuality. See enclosure (10).

i. On 11 July 1984, Petitioner was discharged from the Navy with an OTH characterization of service due to homosexuality. See enclosure (3).

4. Conclusions.

a. In accordance with reference (c), the Board should normally grant requests to change the narrative reason for a discharge, requests to re-characterize the discharge to honorable, and/or requests to change the reentry code to an immediately-eligible-to-reenter category when both of the following conditions are met: (1) the original discharge was based solely on the former "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" (DADT) or similar policy in place prior to enactment of DADT, and (2) there were no aggravating factors in the record, such as misconduct. While the record contains an instance of additional misconduct—specifically, one nonjudicial punishment for leaving his appointed place of duty—the Board determined that this did not constitute a separate basis for administration processing. Rather, the separation was solely based on Petitioner's homosexual conduct. Accordingly, the Board found Petitioner's case falls within the general guidance of reference (c).

b. In accordance with reference (d), the Board considered, amongst other factors, that homosexuality is now permitted within the military and that a current Service member under the same circumstances today would therefore reasonably expect to receive a more favorable outcome than Petitioner received; that although there was one nonjudicial punishment in Petitioner's record, he was solely discharged due to his sexuality; and the passage of time since Petitioner's discharge. Based on these factors, the Board determined that equitable relief is warranted in the interests of justice.

c. The Board also found an injustice in the fact that the narrative reason for separation reflected on Petitioner's DD Form 214 includes a reference to his sexual orientation. Due to his narrative reason for separation, Petitioner must disclose personal information regarding his sexual orientation and/or previous sexual activity whenever he has cause to prove his military service for whatever reason. Other Service members are not required to reveal such personal information when providing evidence of their former military service. This constitutes an unreasonable burden and violation of Petitioner's privacy interests.

5. Recommendation. Based upon the conclusions in paragraph 4 above, the Board recommends the following corrective action be taken on Petitioner's naval record:

a. That Petitioner be issued a new DD Form 214 reflecting that his service ending on 11 July 1984 was characterized as "Honorable"; that his narrative reason for separation was "Secretary Plenary Authority"; that his separation authority was "MILPERSMAN 3630900"; that his separation code was "JFF"; and that his reentry code was "RE-1."

b. That Petitioner be issued an Honorable Discharge Certificate.

c. That a copy of this record of proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER [REDACTED],
USN, XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

d. That no further corrective action be taken on Petitioner's naval record.

6. It is certified that quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above titled matter. I have assured compliance with the provisions of reference (e).

7. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in reference (e), the corrective action described above has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy in accordance with reference (a).

4/3/2026

